### Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 846

# Refinement of the crystal structure of NiCl<sub>2</sub> and of unit-cell parameters of some anhydrous chlorides of divalent metals. By Adolfo FERRARI, ANTONIO BRAIBANTI and GINO BIGLIARDI, Istituto di Chimica generale and Istituto di Mineralogia, Università di Parma, Italy

#### (Received 27 December 1962)

After the chemical researches of Sandonnini (1914) on solid solutions between LiCl and MgCl<sub>2</sub>, Bruni & Ferrari (1925, 1926, 1927), Ferrari (1927), Ferrari, Celeri & Giorgi (1929) studied the same problem on a structural basis and found that complete solubility is connected with the presence in MgCl<sub>2</sub> of a multiple rhombohedral cell with  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$  (*i.e.* the cell is a cube) of the same size as the doubled cubic cell of LiCl. In the cube half of the lithium positions are occupied by magnesium atoms, leaving chlorine atoms unaffected. They found also that MnCl<sub>2</sub>, FeCl<sub>2</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>, NiCl<sub>2</sub> and CdCl<sub>2</sub> have the same structure as MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Pauling (1929), and Pauling & Hoard (1930), were able to find the rhombohedral unit cell and space group of CdCl<sub>2</sub> and to determine more precisely the positions occupied by the atoms in the unit cell. The structure was defined as C19 in Strukturbericht (vol. I, p. 742).

We have measured new photographs of the same compounds and applied new methods of extrapolation to the data obtained. Moreover, the general coordinates of the chlorine atoms in  $NiCl_2$  have been refined by the use of intensity data obtained from a single crystal.

Compounds were prepared by dehydrating the hexahydrated chlorides in gaseous HCl at high temperature (500-800 °C). Magnesium chloride was obtained by heating  $NH_4Cl.MgCl_2.6H_2O$  in flowing gaseous HCl.

The powder photographs were obtained in a 57.3 mm diameter camera; Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation was used ( $\overline{\lambda} = 1.5418$  Å).

#### Refinement of unit-cell constants

Lattice constants were determined by the Myers & Davies (1961) graphical method and the least-squares refinement was applied to the data obtained by the graphical method.

The least-squares normal equations were derived as follows. The usual expression for the hexagonal system:

$$\frac{4\sin^2\theta}{\lambda^2} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{(h^2 + hk + k^2)}{a^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2}$$
(1)

was rewritten in the form

$$\frac{4\sin^2\theta}{\lambda^2 l^2} = \frac{1}{a^2} \left[ \frac{a^2}{c^2} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{(h^2 + hk + k^2)}{l^2} \right].$$
 (2)

Hence

with

$$\frac{4\sin^2\theta_c}{\lambda^2 l^2} = \frac{r^2 + K}{a_0^2 + Bx},\qquad(3)$$

when one puts

$$\frac{a_0}{c} = r, \ \frac{4}{3} \frac{(h^2 + hk + k^2)}{l^2} = K, \ a^2 = a_0^2 + Bx ,$$

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\sin \theta} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\theta} \right)$$
,

the Nelson & Riley (1945) and Taylor & Sinclair (1945) extrapolation function.

These authors extrapolated to x=0 the function  $a=a_0+Bx$ , but Myers & Davies (1961) found satisfactory the extrapolation of the function  $a^2=a_0^2+Bx$  as well, because it is applied to a narrow range of a.

Sin  $\theta_c$  in (3) indicates the value resulting from the introduction in (2) of the values of  $a_0^2/c^2$ ,  $a_0^2$  and *B* obtained by graphical extrapolation according to Myers & Davies (1961), or of further values of  $a_0^2/c^2$ ,  $a_0^2$  and *B* obtained in successive cycles of the least-squares procedure.

If one indicates  $C_c = 4 \sin^2 \theta_c / \lambda^2 l^2$  and  $C_o = 4 \sin^2 \theta_o / \lambda^2 l^2$ ( $\theta_c$  is defined as above and  $\theta_o$  is the Bragg angle observed), the following least-squares normal equations can be obtained (partially diagonalized matrix):

$$\begin{split} \Sigma(C_o - C_c) \frac{\partial C_c}{\partial (r^2)} &= \Sigma \bigg[ \frac{1}{a_0^2 + Bx} \bigg]^2 \varDelta(r^2) \\ &- \Sigma \bigg[ \frac{1}{a_0^2 + Bx} \frac{r^2 + K}{Q_0^2 + Bx)^2} \bigg] \varDelta(a_0^2) \\ \Sigma(C_o - C_c) \frac{\partial C_c}{\partial (a_0^2)} &= -\Sigma \bigg[ \frac{1}{a_0^2 + Bx} \frac{r^2 + K}{(a_0^2 + Bx)^2} \bigg] \varDelta(r^2) \\ &+ \Sigma \bigg[ \frac{r^2 + K}{(Q_0^2 + Bx)^2} \bigg]^2 \varDelta(Q_0^2) \\ \Sigma(C_o - C_c) \frac{\partial C_c}{\partial B} &= \Sigma \bigg[ \frac{(r^2 + K)x}{(a_0^2 + Bx)^2} \bigg]^2 \varDelta B . \end{split}$$
(4)

Iterative application of all the equations (4) gives the best values of  $r^2$  and  $a_0^2$  in a few cycles. The correctness of the procedure can be judged by the reasonable agreement of the final results with single-crystal data obtained for NiCl<sub>2</sub> (Table 1).

From (4) the e.s.d.'s can also be calculated (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1959).

The photograph of  $MgCl_2$  was indexed in terms of a pseudo-cubic cell, and the data then transformed to the hexagonal cell. The pseudo-cubic cell of  $MgCl_2$  has a = 10.274 Å, which can be compared to the cube in LiCl having 2a = 10.2796 Å (Jevinš, Straumanis & Karlsons, 1938).

The unit-cell constants are quoted in Table 1.

## Refinement of the structure of NiCl<sub>2</sub>

The crystal used for the photographs was obtained from a solidified mass. One fragment was sealed in a Lindemann glass capillary tube. Rotation and Weissenberg photographs (Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation) were taken. The rotation axis was [10.0] of the hexagonal cell.

# Table 1. Unit-cell constants of divalent metal chlorides (Å) Hexagonal cell, space group $R\overline{3}m(D_{3d}^{s})$

Compound			Grime & 1934 ( Pauling	t Santos, (CoCl <sub>2</sub> ) & Hoard	Present work			
	Forrari et al.*		1930 ( $CdCl_2$ )		Graphical method		Least-squares method	
	a	c	a	с	a	c	a	с
MgCl,	3.603	17.627			3.632	17.78	$3.632 \pm 0.004$	$17.795 \pm 0.016$
MnCl.	3.682	17.480			3.709	17.59	$3.711 \pm 0.002$	$17.59 \pm 0.07$
FeCl,	3.585	17.555			3.593	17.60	$3.593 \pm 0.003$	$17.58 \pm 0.09$
CoCl	3.547	17.385	3.551	17.46	3.553	17.41	$3.553 \pm 0.001$	$17.39 \pm 0.05$
NiCl,	3.542	17.355			3.481	17.30	$3.478 \pm 0.0017$	$17.41 \pm 0.12^{+}$
CdCl <sub>2</sub>			3.862	17.495	3.845	17.49	$3.8457 \pm 0.0003$	$17.48 \pm 0.02$

\* Bruni & Ferrari (1925, 1926, 1927), Ferrari (1927), Ferrari, Celeri & Giorgi (1929).

† From a single crystal,  $a = 3.483 \pm 0.006$  Å,  $c = 17.40 \pm 0.03$  Å.

Unit-cell data have been found to be  $a = 3.483 \pm 0.006$ ,  $c = 17.40 \pm 0.03$  Å. Calculated and observed density were  $q_c = 3.537$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $q_o = 3.51$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>. The (h0.1) integrated reflexions were recorded by an integrating Weissenberg camera and measured by a microdensitometer. Polarization and Lorentz corrections were introduced. Absorption corrections were calculated by Ferrari, Braibanti & Tiripicchio's (1961) method; a contribution, due to the Lindemann tube, deduced from a curve for cylindrical specimens was added to the transmission factors calculated. Atomic form factors were evaluated graphically from the Thomas & Umeda (1957) data. During refinement, anomalous dispersion (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1961) and secondary extinction (Pinnock, Taylor & Lipson, 1956) were taken into account.

Ni is in position (a) and Cl in position (c) of the space group  $R\overline{3}m$ . Only the positional parameter  $z_{Cl}$  and the thermal parameters were refined by the least-squares method.

The resulting chlorine coordinate is  $z_{C1}=0.2551\pm 0.0008$ . The variations of the thermal parameters from the isotropic value  $(B=2.0\pm0.2 \text{ Å}^2)$  are within the e.s.d.'s.

The structure (*Strukturbericht*, vol. I, p. 742) is formed by octahedra [NiCl<sub>6/3</sub>] grouped in layers. The metalhalogen distance is Ni-Cl =  $2 \cdot 426 \pm 0 \cdot 008$  Å, which is somewhat shorter than the sum of the ionic radii. The distances between two chlorine atoms are Cl · · · Cl =  $3 \cdot 385 \pm 0.016$  Å and  $3 \cdot 483 \pm 0.006$  Å within each octahedron and Cl · · · Cl =  $3 \cdot 674 \pm 0.016$  Å between different octahedra. The latter distance is in good agreement with twice the van der Waals radius of chlorine. The angles Cl-Ni-Cl are  $89 \pm 3^{\circ}$  and  $92 \pm 3^{\circ}$ .

## References

- BRUNI, G. & FERRARI, A. (1925). R. C. Accad. Lincei, [6], 2, 457.
- BRUNI, G. & FERRARI, A. (1926). R. C. Accad. Lincei, [6], 4, 10.
- BRUNI, G. & FERRARI, A. (1927). Z. Phys. Chem. 130, 488,
- FERRARI, A. (1927). R. C. Accad. Lincei, [6], 6, 56.
- FERRARI, A., BRAIBANTI, A. & TIRIPICCHIO, A. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 1089.
- FERRARI, A., CELERI, A. & GIORGI, F. (1929). R. C. Accad. Lincei, [6], 9, 782.
- GRIME, H. & SANTOS, J. A. (1934). Z. Kristallogr. A, 88, 136,
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography. (1959). Vol. II, p. 330. Birmingham: The Kynoch Press.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography. (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: The Kynoch Press.
- JEVINS, A., STRAUMANIS, M. & KARLSONS, K. (1938). Z. Phys. Chem. B. 40, 146.
- MYERS, E. J. & DAVIES, F. C. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 194.
- NELSON, J. B. & RILEY, D. P. (1945). Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. 57, 160.
- PAULING, L. (1929). Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Wash. 15, 709.
- PAULING, L. & HOARD, J. L. (1930). Z. Kristallogr. 74, 546.
- PINNOCK, P. R., TAYLOR, C. A. & LIPSON, H. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 173.
- SANDONNINI, C. (1914). Gazz. chim. ital. 44, 378.
- TAYLOR, A. & SINCLAIR, H. (1945). Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. 57, 126.
- THOMAS, L. H. & UMEDA, K. (1957). J. Chem. Physics, 26, 293.

# Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 847

A demonstration of a moiré pattern and a pure twist boundary model. By H. OCKEN and E. N. AQUA, Hammond Metallurgical Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, U.S.A.

(Received 10 December 1962 and in revised form 25 February 1963)

This communication describes interference phenomena resulting from two-dimensional point networks which can be interpreted either in terms of moiré patterns or dislocation models of pure twist boundaries.

Moiré patterns may be described as coarse arrange-

ments produced by the superposition and, in this case, rotation of identical fine networks. The coarse patterns are enlarged versions of the fine ones. Using Gevers's (1962) notation, the size of the magnified moiré image,  $\Lambda$ , is related to the separation distance of the fine net-